

# COURTS IN LA PAZ COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2012-2014 SUMMARY



## LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Increase bandwidth to enable videoconferencing for more court administrative functions.
- Enter requisitions remotely and increase real-time communication with Finance.
- Implement EDMS in limited jurisdiction court environment.
- Increase participation in integration projects including e-signature, and e-appeal to eliminate paper and duplicate data entry.
- Increase public access to court records and automate records retention.
- Obtain training in report writing and increase usage.

## CY 2010 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Began electronic minute entries and expanded superior court web presence.
- Web-enabled clerk's OnBase document management system.
- Implemented electronic payment of fines and fees for all courts.
- Standardized electronic forms covering the highest volume activities.
- Tested handheld e-citation devices in Quartzsite.
- Updated current telephone system.

## Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

<b>LJ CMS/Bench Auto JOLTSaz/SWID</b>	Desire all courts to use same API for better recordkeeping; will be early adopters. Request simplified report generation within JOLTSaz rather than requiring Crystal; will be a late adopter.
<b>LJ EDMS e-Filing/Std Forms</b>	No comment provided; will be mid-cycle adopters. Concerned about bandwidth required and infrastructure, especially at most remote courts; will be mid-cycle adopter.
<b>Architecture</b>	Don't perform local development; a few items in containment status but plan exists to address.

<b>TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS</b>					
<b>Project</b>	<b>Year/ Status</b>	<b>Project Detail Provided</b>			<b>Comments</b>
		<b>Full<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Skeletal<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Mention<sup>3</sup></b>	
<b>EDMS in LJ Courts</b>	FY13		X		Local JCEF; Conceptual
<b>Connect to County/City Networks</b>	FY12		X		With County IT
<b>Electronic Transfer of Record on Appeal</b>	FY11		X		Superior Court Clerk
<b>Convert Closed Case Files from Paper</b>	FY11		X		Superior Court Clerk; Local JCEF
<b>TIP/FARE Implementation</b>	FY11		X		Superior Court Clerk
<b>WestLaw Access</b>	FY12		X		LJ courts
<b>e-Signature</b>	FY12		X		All courts; conceptual
<b>Fix AZTEC Codes</b>	FY12			X	LJ Standardization
<b>High Profile Case Website</b>	FY11			X	Superior Court Clerk

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.